665

KOLLER LAW LLC

David M. Koller, Esq. (90119) Sarah R. Lavelle, Esq. (93383) 2043 Locust Street, Suite 1B Philadelphia, PA 19103

T: (215) 545-8917 F: (215) 575-0826

davidk@kollerlawfirm.com slavelle@kollerlawfirm.com MIL

Counsel for Plaintiff

19-3081

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

AMANDA CARTER
3305 Wyoming Drive
Sinking Spring, PA 19608
Plaintiff,
v.
Complaint and Jury Demand
WAWA, INC.
3337 Penn Avenue
West Lawn, PA 19609
Red Roof, 260 W. Baltimore Pike
Wawa, PA 19063
Defendant.
CIVIL ACTION

Plaintiff, Amanda Carter (hereinafter "Plaintiff"), by and through her attorney, Koller Law, LLC, brings this civil matter against Defendant, Wawa, Inc. (hereinafter "Defendant"), for violations of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 ("Title VII"), the Pregnancy Discrimination Act ("PDA"), the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, as amended ("ADA"), and the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act ("PHRA"). In support thereof, Plaintiff avers as follows:

THE PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff is an adult individual residing at the above captioned address.
- 2. Upon information and belief, Defendant is a chain of convenient stores with a location at 19

- 3337 Penn Avenue, West Lawn, PA 19609 and with a corporate headquarters located at Red Roof, 260 W. Baltimore Pike, Wawa, PA 19063.
- 3. At all times relevant hereto, Defendant employed managers, supervisors, agents, and employees who Plaintiff alleges had the authority to make decisions concerning Plaintiff's employment. In making said decisions, these individuals engaged in the pattern and practice of discriminatory treatment, which forms the basis of Plaintiff's allegations in the instant Complaint.
- 4. At all times relevant hereto, Defendant employed managers, supervisors, agents, and employees who acted directly or indirectly in the interest of the employer. In so acting, these individuals engaged in the pattern and practice of discriminatory treatment, which forms the basis of Plaintiff's allegations in the instant Complaint.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 5. The Court may properly maintain personal jurisdiction over Defendant because the Defendant's contacts with this state and this judicial district are sufficient for the exercise of jurisdiction and comply with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice, thus satisfying the standard set forth by the United States Supreme Court in International Shoe Co. v. Washington, 326 U.S. 310 (1945) and its progeny.
- 6. The Court may exercise original subject-matter jurisdiction over the instant action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343(a)(4) because it arises under the laws of the United States and seeks redress for violations of federal law.
- 7. The Court may also maintain supplemental jurisdiction over state law claims set forth herein pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a) and Rule 18(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure because they are sufficiently related to one or more claims within the Court's original

- jurisdiction that they form part of the same case or controversy.
- 8. Venue is properly laid in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b)(1) and 1391(b)(2) because the Plaintiff is domiciled in this judicial district, the Defendant is located in this judicial district and because all of the acts and/or omissions giving rise to the claims set forth herein occurred in this judicial district.

EXHAUSTION OF ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDIES

- 9. Plaintiff exhausted her administrative remedies under Title VII, the PDA and the ADA.
- 10. Plaintiff timely filed a Charge of Discrimination ("Charge") with the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC") alleging sexual harassment against Defendant.
- 11. The Charge was assigned Charge Number 530-2017-01268 and was dual filed with the Pennsylvania Human Relations Commission ("PHRC").
- 12. The EEOC issued Plaintiff a Dismissal and Notice of Rights ("Right to Sue") by request, dated April 16, 2019. Plaintiff received the Right to Sue notice by mail.
- 13. Prior to the filing of this action, Plaintiff notified the EEOC of her intent to proceed with a lawsuit in federal court.
- 14. Plaintiff files the instant Complaint within ninety (90) days of her receipt of her Right to Sue in this matter.
- 15. Plaintiff has exhausted her administrative remedies as to the allegations of this Complaint.

MATERIAL FACTS

- 16. On January 22, 2016, Defendant hired Plaintiff as a Night Shift Supervisor.
- 17. Defendant had Plaintiff start as a Customer Service Associate ("CSA") until she completed her management training at Defendant.

- 18. Plaintiff was well qualified for her position and performed well.
- 19. Upon the start of her employment, Plaintiff noticed that Rocky Manderbach, Shift Manager, was sexually harassing other employees.
- Mr. Manderbach frequently made sexually inappropriate comments directed to and around female CSAs.
- 21. In addition, he often offered massages to the young female CSAs, including, but not limited to Kaitlin LNU, and requested that they sit on his lap while working at Defendant.
- 22. Plaintiff was offended by Mr. Manderbach's inappropriate conduct directed at females.
- 23. When Fabia Wilson, Shift Supervisor, informed Mr. Manderbach that she was going to have a cigarette break by stating that she was going out for "a quickie", Mr. Manderbach took a poll over the headset with the other employees if Ms. Wilson was referring to a cigarette or sexual intercourse.
- 24. Ms. Wilson informed Mr. Manderbach that his conduct was inappropriate, but he did not cease his behavior.
- 25. Mr. Manderbach also made sexually inappropriate comments to Susan Dufner, Night Shift Supervisor, including but not limited to, "Suzy is a virgin and will never get laid," and that Ms. Dufner would not be "so uptight if she got some dick."
- 26. In or around March 2016, Plaintiff complained to Shawn Strunk, General Manager, in person about Mr. Manderbach's sexual harassment.
- 27. Mr. Strunk downplayed Plaintiff's complaint of Mr. Manderbach's sexual harassment. Mr. Strunk also instructed Plaintiff not to go to Human Resources with her complaint, and he informed her that he would take care of the situation.
- 28. However, Mr. Manderbach did not cease his sexual harassment.

- 29. Approximately two (2) weeks later, Defendant stopped scheduling Plaintiff for her management training.
- 30. When Plaintiff questioned Mr. Strunk about her management training no longer being scheduled, he replied that there were scheduling issues, but that Defendant would place her back in the training.
- 31. However, Defendant did not place Plaintiff back in the management training.
- 32. Approximately two (2) weeks after being removed from Defendant's management training, Plaintiff called Human Resources and made a complaint about Mr. Manderbach's sexual harassment.
- 33. The Human Resource Representative did not address Plaintiff's complaint and instructed her to let Mr. Manderbach "adjust" in his position as a Shift Manager.
- 34. However, Mr. Manderbach continued his sexually inappropriate behavior.
- 35. In or around May 2016, Plaintiff discovered that she was pregnant, and she immediately notified Defendant of her pregnancy.
- 36. Shortly afterwards, Mr. Manderbach started a rumor that Plaintiff, a married woman, was having an affair with Mr. Wilson and that Plaintiff was carrying Mr. Wilson's baby.
- 37. Plaintiff was shocked and appalled when she heard this rumor that Mr. Manderbach had started.
- 38. Due to Defendant's refusal to address Plaintiff's multiple complaints of Mr. Manderbach's sexual harassment, Plaintiff's husband went to Defendant to speak with Mr. Manderbach and requested that he cease his sexually inappropriate conduct.
- 39. However, after approximately one (1) week, Mr. Manderbach continued his sexual harassment.

- 40. In or around October 2016, Plaintiff's treating physician at All About Women Tower Health Medical Group, placed her on the medical restriction of not lifting over 25 lbs. due to her pregnancy.
- 41. Plaintiff submitted her doctor's note requesting the reasonable accommodation of not lifting over 25 lbs. to Defendant's Human Resource department.
- 42. Plaintiff could perform the essential functions of her position with this lifting restriction.
- 43. Upon information and belief, Defendant accommodated other non-pregnant employees' lifting restrictions.
- 44. However, Defendant refused to provide her with her requested reasonable accommodation and frequently forced her to lift over 25 lbs.
- 45. One Shift Supervisor even instructed Michael LNU, CSA, not to assist Plaintiff lifting heavy items, stating, "Fuck her. Don't help her and maybe she'll lose her baby."
- 46. In or around November 2016, Defendant began to cut Plaintiff's scheduled hours from 40 hours per week to 24 hours per week.
- 47. Plaintiff complained about her reduction in hours, but was told that all employees were technically part-time, despite Plaintiff clearly being hired as a full-time employee.
- 48. Upon information and belief, other non-pregnant employees did not have their hours reduced.
- 49. In or around December 2016, Plaintiff began to hear rumors that a new General Manager was coming to Defendant's location and that he had been specifically instructed to terminate Plaintiff.
- 50. On December 26, 2016, Akim LNU became the new General Manager at Defendant's location.

- 51. On December 27, 2016, Mike LNU, Assistant General Manager, wrote a resignation letter for Plaintiff and gave it to Alex LNU, Food & Beverage Supervisor, to have Plaintiff sign.
- 52. Plaintiff refused to sign the resignation letter provided to her by Alex.
- 53. In or around the beginning of January 2017, Akim forced Ms. Dufner to make a false statement alleging Plaintiff cursed in front of a customer.
- 54. Plaintiff had not cursed in front of any customer, however.
- 55. Shortly afterwards, Jessica Batista, CSA, accused Plaintiff and her husband of entering Defendant and proceeding to curse and threaten employees.
- 56. Plaintiff complained to Ms. Dufner, Ms. Wilson and Akim about Ms. Batista's false allegations. However, Plaintiff's complaints were not addressed.
- 57. On January 11, 2017, Plaintiff received a letter from Chris Friez, Senior Associate Relations Specialist, stating that Defendant has concluded its investigation into her complaints and that her complaints had been addressed.
- 58. However, Mr. Friez's letter also stated that the investigation revealed alleged deficiencies to Plaintiff's performance and behavior and that the information had been provided to her manager to review with her.
- 59. Shortly afterwards, Michael (CSA) reported to Plaintiff that Akim had instructed him not to assist Plaintiff with lifting. Akim was aware of Plaintiff's requested reasonable accommodation of not lifting over 25 lbs.
- 60. Michael reported to Plaintiff that Akim informed him that if he liked his job and wanted to support his family then he should not help Plaintiff.
- 61. On February 1, 2017, Akim brought Plaintiff into the office along with Mike (Assistant General Manager).

- 62. Akim proceeded to terminate Plaintiff for allegedly calling a customer a "wet dog" and cursing in two (2) other instances.
- 63. Plaintiff did not commit the alleged violations for which she was terminated.
- 64. At the time of her termination, Plaintiff was approximately seven months pregnant.
- 65. It is Plaintiff's position that she was sexually harassed and terminated in retaliation for reporting sexual harassment in violation of Title VII, discriminated against due to her pregnancy in violation of the PDA, and denied a reasonable accommodation and retaliated against for requesting a reasonable accommodation in violation of the ADA.

COUNT I SEXUAL HARASSMENT – HOSTILE WORK ENVIRONMENT TITLE VII OF THE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1964, AS AMENDED

- 66. Plaintiff incorporates the preceding paragraphs as if set forth more fully at length herein.
- 67. Taken together, the acts outlined above constitute a hostile work environment based on sex.
 - a. Plaintiff suffered intentional discrimination because of her membership in a protected class – female.
 - b. Such discrimination was severe or pervasive.
 - c. Such discrimination detrimentally affected Plaintiff.
 - d. Such discrimination would have detrimentally affect a reasonable person of the same protected class in Plaintiff's position.
- 68. The unlawful employment practices outlined above were intentional
- 69. Plaintiff suffered tangible employment actions as alleged herein.
- 70. The harasser was a supervisor of Plaintiff.
- 71. Plaintiff reported the sexual harassment to management on numerous occasions.

- 72. Defendant knew or reasonably should have known of the sexual harassment.
- 73. Defendant failed to exercise reasonable care to prevent and promptly correct the harassing behavior.
- 74. Indeed, upon information and belief, Defendant failed to discipline the harasser for his misconduct, which caused Plaintiff to be subjected to further harassment.
- 75. As a result of Defendant's actions and conduct, Plaintiff has suffered emotional pain and distress, mental anguish, and loss of enjoyment of life's pleasures.
- 76. The above described acts of Defendant with regard to Plaintiff were egregious and were committed with a malicious, willful, and/or reckless indifference to the federally protected rights of Plaintiff.
- 77. The extreme and outrageous acts of Defendant merit the imposition of punitive damages.

 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff seeks the damages set forth in the Prayer for Relief clause of this Complaint, *infra*.

COUNT II SEXUAL HARASSMENT – HOSTILE WORK ENVIRONMENT PENNSYLVANIA HUMAN RELATIONS ACT

- 78. Plaintiff incorporates the preceding paragraphs as if set forth more fully at length herein.
- 79. The foregoing conduct created a sexually hostile work environment for Plaintiff.
- 80. Plaintiff suffered intentional discrimination because of her sex.
- 81. Defendant subjected Plaintiff to unwelcome conduct of a sexual nature that was severe or pervasive.
- 82. The harasser was a supervisor of Plaintiff.
- 83. The discrimination detrimentally affected Plaintiff.
- 84. Plaintiff suffered tangible employment actions as alleged herein.

- 85. As a result of Defendant's conduct as aforementioned, Plaintiff has suffered damages as set forth herein.
- 86. Defendant knew or reasonably should have known of the sexual harassment.
- 87. Defendant failed to exercise reasonable care to prevent and promptly correct the harassing behavior.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff seeks the damages set forth in the Prayer for Relief clause of this Complaint, *infra*.

COUNT III PREGNANCY DISCRIMINATION TITLE VII OF THE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1964, AS AMENDED

- 88. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each allegation contained in the preceding paragraphs as if the same were set forth more fully at length herein.
- 89. Plaintiff is a member of a protected class in that she is pregnant.
- 90. Plaintiff was qualified to perform the job for which she was hired.
- 91. Plaintiff requested the reasonable accommodation of not lifting over 25 lbs.
- 92. With this reasonable accommodation, Plaintiff would be able to perform the essential functions of her position.
- 93. Plaintiff's requested accommodation was reasonable, and it would not impose an undue hardship on Defendant.
- 94. Upon information and belief, Defendant has accommodated the lifting restrictions of non-pregnant employees.
- 95. Defendant refused to provide Plaintiff with her requested accommodation.
- 96. Defendant failed to engage in the interactive process with Plaintiff to determine whether Plaintiff could perform the essential functions of her position with or without a

- reasonable accommodation.
- 97. Circumstances exist related to the above cited adverse employment actions that give rise to an inference of discrimination.
- 98. No legitimate, non-discriminatory reasons exist for the above cited adverse employment actions that Plaintiff suffered.
- 99. Defendant discriminated against Plaintiff on the basis of her pregnancy.
- 100. Defendant treated non-pregnant employees better than it treated Plaintiff.
- 101. As a result of Defendant's unlawful pregnancy discrimination, Plaintiff has suffered damages as set forth herein.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff seeks the damages set forth in the Prayer for Relief clause of this Complaint, *infra*.

COUNT IV PREGNANCY DISCRIMINATION PENNSYLVANIA HUMAN RELATION ACT

- 102. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each allegation contained in the preceding paragraphs as if the same were set forth more fully at length herein.
- 103. Plaintiff is a member of a protected class in that she is pregnant.
- 104. Plaintiff was qualified to perform the job for which she was hired.
- 105. Plaintiff requested the reasonable accommodation of not lifting over 25 lbs.
- 106. With this reasonable accommodation, Plaintiff would be able to perform the essential functions of her position.
- 107. Plaintiff's requested accommodation was reasonable, and it would not impose an undue hardship on Defendant.
- 108. Upon information and belief, Defendant has accommodated the lifting restrictions of

non-pregnant employees.

- 109. Defendant refused to provide Plaintiff with her requested accommodation.
- 110. Defendant failed to engage in the interactive process with Plaintiff to determine whether Plaintiff could perform the essential functions of her position with or without a reasonable accommodation.
- 111. Circumstances exist related to the above cited adverse employment actions that give rise to an inference of discrimination.
- 112. No legitimate, non-discriminatory reasons exist for the above cited adverse employment actions that Plaintiff suffered.
- 113. Defendant discriminated against Plaintiff on the basis of her pregnancy.
- 114. Defendant treated non-pregnant employees better than it treated Plaintiff.
- 115. As a result of Defendant's unlawful pregnancy discrimination, Plaintiff has suffered damages as set forth herein.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff seeks the damages set forth in the Prayer for Relief clause of this Complaint, *infra*.

COUNT V RETALIATION TITLE VII OF THE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1964, AS AMENDED

- 116. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each allegation contained in the preceding paragraphs as if the same were set forth more fully at length herein.
- 117. Plaintiff engaged in activity protected by Title VII when she complained of sexual harassment internally by reporting the same to managers and Human Resources at Defendant.

- 118. Thereafter, Defendant took adverse employment actions against Plaintiff, including, but not limited to, removing her from management training, reducing her hours and termination.
- 119. There exists a causal connection between Plaintiff's participation in the protected activity and the adverse employment actions.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff seeks the damages set forth in the Prayer for Relief clause of this Complaint, *infra*.

COUNT VI RETALIATION PENNSYLVANIA HUMAN RELATIONS ACT

- 120. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each allegation contained in the preceding paragraphs as if the same were set forth more fully at length herein.
- 121. Plaintiff engaged in activity protected by the PHRA when she complained of sexual harassment internally by reporting the same to managers and Human Resources at Defendant.
- 122. Plaintiff also engaged in activity protected by the PHRA when she requested the reasonable accommodation of a 25-lb. lifting restriction.
- 123. Thereafter, Defendant took adverse employment actions against Plaintiff, including, but not limited to, removing her from management training, reducing her hours and termination.
- 124. There exists a causal connection between Plaintiff's participation in the protected activity and the adverse employment actions.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff seeks the damages set forth in the Prayer for Relief clause of this Complaint, *infra*.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Amanda Carter, requests that the Court grant her the following relief against Defendant:

- (a) Compensatory damages;
- (b) Punitive damages;
- (c) Emotional pain and suffering;
- (d) Reasonable attorneys' fees;
- (e) Recoverable costs;
- (f) Pre and post judgment interest;
- (g) An allowance to compensate for negative tax consequences;
- (h) A permanent injunction enjoining Defendant, its directors, officers, employees, agents, successors, heirs and assigns, and all persons in active concert or participation with it, from engaging in, ratifying, or refusing to correct, employment practices which discriminate in violation of Title VII, the ADA and the PHRA.
- (i) Order Defendant to institute and implement, and for its employees, to attend and/or otherwise participate in, training programs, policies, practices and programs which provide equal employment opportunities;
- (j) Order Defendant to remove and expunge, or to cause to be removed and expunged, all negative, discriminatory, and/or defamatory memoranda and documentation from Plaintiff's record of employment, including, but not limited, the pre-textual reasons cited for its adverse actions, disciplines, and termination; and
- (k) Awarding extraordinary, equitable and/or injunctive relief as permitted by law, equity and the federal statutory provisions sued hereunder, pursuant to Rules 64 and 65 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

JURY TRIAL DEMAND

Demand is hereby made for a trial by jury as to all issues.

CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that to the best of my knowledge and belief the above matter in controversy is not the subject of any other action pending in any court or of a pending arbitration proceeding, nor at the present time is any other action or arbitration proceeding contemplated.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

KOLLER LAW, LLC

Date: July 15, 2019

By:

David M. Koller, Esquire (90119) Sarah R. Lavelle, Esquire (93383) 2043 Locust Street, Suite 1B Philadelphia, PA 19103

215-545-8917

<u>davidk@kollerlawfirm.com</u> slavelle@kollerlawfirm.com

Counsel for Plaintiff

USDC-EDPA REC'D CLERK

a هارا	se 5:19-cv-0308.			15/19 Page 16 of 1	18	
JS 44 (Rev 06.17)			OVER SHEET	5:19-cv-		
The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SFE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF IHIS FORM.)						
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDAN	TS		
AMANDA CARTER		ιΛ,	/ / WAWA, INC		\setminus	
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff BERKS County of Residence of First Listed Defendant BERKS						
. ,	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA		NOTE IN LANI	OF U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES OF CONDEMNATION CASES, USE TACT OF LAND INVOLVED	ONLY)	
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name Address and Telephone Number) David M Koller, Esquire, Koller Law LLC, 2043 Locust Street, Suite 1-B,						
Philadelphia, PA 19103,	215-545-6917					
II. BASIS OF JURISD	TION Clace an 'X' in O	ne Rox Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OI	F PRINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff	
J 1 US Government	3 Federal Ouestion	ne box oray,	(For Diversity Cases On		and One Box for Defendant) PIF DEF	
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government)	Not a Party)	Citizen of This State	I I Incorporated or Pr	rincipal Place 3 4 5 4	
7 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Cittzenshi	p of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	J 2 J 2 Incorporated and of Bustness In		
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	☐ 3 ☐ 3 Foreign Nation	O 6 O 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUI					of Suit Code Descriptions	
CONTRACT	1	PERSONAL INTER			OTHER STATUTES	
	☐ 330 Federal Employers Liability ☐ 340 Marine ☐ 345 Marine Product Liability ☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle ☐ 7 Product Liability ☐ 360 Other Personal ☐ 341 Voting ☐ 441 Voting ☐ 442 Employment ☐ 443 Jousing ☐ Accommodations ☐ 445 Amer w Disabilities ☐ Other ☐ 346 Amer w Disabilities ☐ Other ☐ 348 Education ☐ 348 Education ☐ 349 Federal Employment ☐ 340 Marine ☐ 340 Marine ☐ 340 Marine ☐ 340 Marine ☐ 360 Motor Vehicle ☐ 360 Other Product ☐ 360 Other ☐ 360 O	PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability Product Liability Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 385 Property Damage Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 376 Other Personal Property Damage 1385 Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 1510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 1510 General 550 General 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee Conditions of Confinement	of Property 21 USC 8 of 690 Other RTY 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Management Relations 740 Railway Labor Act 751 Family and Medical Leave Act 791 Find Medical Leave Act 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION 7462 Naturalization Applied 7465 Other Immigration Actions 74 Reinstated or 77 5 Tra Reopened An	PROPERTY RIGHTS 7 820 Copyrights 7 830 Patent 7 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application 7 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY 7 861 HIA (1395ff) 7 862 Black Lung (923) 7 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 7 864 SSID Title XVI 7 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUTS 7 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) 7 871 IRS - Third Party 26 USC 760977 26 USC 760977 27 28 28 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29	7 490 Cable/Sat IV 7 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 7 890 Other Statutory Actions 7 891 Agricultural Acts 7 893 Environmental Matters 7 895 Freedom of Information Act 7 896 Arbitration 7 896 Arbitration 7 896 Arbitration 7 896 Arbitration 7 896 Statutes 7 897 State Statutes 7 898 Statutes 7 898 Multidistrict Litigation -	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity) Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 Brief description of cause						
discrimination						
VII. REQUESTED IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION DEMAND \$ COMPLAINT: CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION DEMAND \$ CHECK YES only I demanded in complaint JURY DEMAND: XI Yes Tho					• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
VIII. RELATED CASS	(See instructions)	JUDGE _		DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE	+	SIGNATURE OF A	FORNEY OF RECORD	1		
07/15/2019 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY				4		

JUDGE _ _

MAG JUDGE

RECEIPT#

AMOUNI

APPLYING IFP

Case 5:19-cv-03081-EGS Document 1 Filed 07/15/19 Page 17 of 18 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA TODAY

Address of Plaintiff	3305 Wyoming Drive, Sinking Spring, PA 19608				
	3337 Penn Avenue, West Lawn, PA 19609				
Address of Defendant	West Lawn, PA 19609				
Place of Accident, Ingident or Transaction					
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:					
Case Number	Judge Date Terminated				
Civil cases are deemed related when Yes is answered to any of the following questions					
Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?					
2 Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?					
3 Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action of this court?					
4 Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights Yes No Yes					
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is / is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above.					
DATE 07/15/2019 90119 90119 90119					
	Attorney at Law Pro Se Plaintiff Attorney LO # (if applicable)				
CIVIL: (Place a v in one category only)					
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:				
1 Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and 2 FEDA	All Other Contracts				
3 Jones Act-Personal Injury 4 Antitrust	3 Assault, Defamation 4 Marine Personal Injury				
5 Patent 6 Labor Management Relations	5 Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 6 Other Personal Injury (Please specify)				
7 Civil Rights	7 Products Liability				
8 Habeas Corpus 9 Securities Act(s) Cases	8 Products Liability - Asbestos 9 All other Diversity Cases				
☐ 10 Social Security Review Cases ☐ 11 All other Federal Question Cases	(Please specify)				
(Please specify)					
APPLIE ATION CERTIFICATION					
	ARRITRATION CERTIFICATION				
	ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION t of this certification is to remove the case from eligibility for arbitration)				
David M. Koller					
ɪ, David M. Koller,	counsel of record or pro se plaintiff, do hereby certify 2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case				
I, David M. Koller, o	counsel of record or pro se plaintiff, do hereby certify 2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case of interest and costs				
Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53 2, § 3(e) (exceed the sum of \$150,000 00 exclusive of	counsel of record or pro se plaintiff, do hereby certify 2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case of interest and costs				



IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM						
Amanda Carte	R :	CIVIL ACTION				
Wawa, Inc	: : :	NO. 19-3081				
plaintiff shall complete a C filing the complaint and ser side of this form.) In the designation, that defendant the plaintiff and all other pa	ase Management Track Designation ve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1: event that a defendant does not agon shall, with its first appearance, subm	ction Plan of this court, counsel for Form in all civil cases at the time of 03 of the plan set forth on the reverse ree with the plaintiff regarding said nit to the clerk of court and serve on esignation Form specifying the track				
SELECT ONE OF THE F	OLLOWING CASE MANAGEM	ENT TRACKS:				
(a) Habeas Corpus - Cases	s brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 thr	rough § 2255. ()				
(b) Social Security - Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits. ()						
(c) Arbitration - Cases req	(c) Arbitration - Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53 2. ()					
(d) Asbestos – Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos.						
commonly referred to a	Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) as complex and that need special or is side of this form for a detailed explain	ntense management by				
(f) Standard Management	- Cases that do not fall into any one	of the other tracks.				
7/15/19 Date 7/15/19	Attorney-at-law 215-575-08-6	Plaintiff Attorney for david Ka Kolleslawfirm,				
Telephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Address				
zeiephone	A PAPA A TURBUNU	E PARIS PRIME COO				
(Civ. 660) 10/02		2[